

. <u>\*</u>

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023) www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/834,442	04/13/2001	John S. Whitaker	29342/37225	2950
75	90 02/21/2003			
MARSHALL, GERSTERIN & BORUN			EXAMINER	
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606-7357		JIANG, SHAOJIA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/834,442	WHITAKER ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shaojia A. Jiang	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
_	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing d		to the Conductor Construction of the construction of
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). T fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perio fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3.	re later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI the date on which the petition under 37 CF d of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply office later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		, , , , ,
(d) they present additional claims without cance MOTE: <u>see attachment</u> .	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): <u>Double Patenting rejection</u> .	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: §	or reconsideration has been consi <u>See attachment</u> .	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3:	
Claim(s) allowed: none.		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)∭ approved or b)∭ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem  10. Other:	,	REENI PADMANABHAN 2113/03
S. Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PRIMARY EXAMINER 2 17 05

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/834,442

Art Unit: 1617

## **Advisory Action**

This Office Action is a response to Applicant's amendment and response <u>after</u>

FINAL filed on January 14, 2003.

- Applicant's proposed amendment herein is not seen to place the application in better form for apeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal.
- 3. The terminal disclaimer filed on January 14, 2003, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. 6,451,807 (09/558,911) has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded in Paper No. 11.

Therefore, the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of record in the Final Office Action is withdrawn.

5. Applicant's remarks filed January 14, 2003 with respect to the rejection of claims 1-9 made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daugan et al. (WO 96/32003) in view of the abstract of Neiwohner et al. (WO 99/24433) have been fully considered but are unpersuasive for reasons of record stated in the Final Office Action dated September 9, 2002.

Applicant's remarks filed January 14, 2003 with respect to the rejection of claims 10-13 made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daugan et al. (WO 97/03675) in view of Viagra prescribing information, Remington: The Science and

Application/Control Number: 09/834,442

Art Unit: 1617

Practice of Pharmacy (of record) have been fully considered but are unpersuasive for reasons of record stated in the Final Office Action dated September 9, 2002.

Applicant's arguments that "no cited reference teaches a PDE5 inhibitor for chronic administration having the properties recited in dependent claim 5.." have been considered but are not found persuasive, as pointed out in the Final Rejection, the instant claims are not directed to method claims but drawn to a product/article of manufacture. Thus, it is well settled that "intended use" of a composition or product, e.g., a PDE5 inhibitor for chronic administration having the properties recited in dependent claim 5 herein, will not further limit claims drawn to a composition or product. See, e.g., *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987) and *In re Hack* 114, USPQ 161.

As discussed in the Final Rejection, motivation to combine the teachings of the prior art to make the present invention is seen and no impermissible hindsight is seen.

The claimed invention is clearly obvious in view of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,442

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1235.

S. A. Jiang, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, AU 1617 February 19, 2003